**⚖️ Annex D – Risk Register**

Methodology: Risks are scored by likelihood and impact (Low / Medium / High), with mitigation strategies and clear ownership. All risks are modelled pre‑launch and will be validated through governance processes post‑launch.

Risk Register Table

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Risk Category | Likelihood | Impact | Risk Owner | Mitigation Strategy |
| Market Demand | Medium | High | Founder / Marketing Lead | Staged rollout, flexible pricing, diversified revenue streams |
| Regulatory | Low | High | Compliance Officer | Early DAFF/SAPS engagement, legal due diligence, permits secured |
| Operational | Medium | Medium | Operations Manager | Staff training, SOPs, local supplier partnerships |
| Climate / Water | Medium | High | Sustainability Lead | Irrigation planning, drought‑resistant crops, water harvesting |
| Financial | Medium | High | CFO / Finance Lead | Conservative cash flow planning, contingency reserves |
| Reputational | Low | Medium | Founder / Comms Lead | Transparent investor updates, proactive community engagement |

Key View: Risks are not hidden — they are acknowledged, scored, and paired with mitigation strategies and ownership. This builds investor trust and demonstrates governance maturity.

Investor Logic

Market Demand: Mitigated by phased rollout and diversified income streams.

Regulatory: Low likelihood but high impact → addressed through compliance and early engagement.

Operational & Climate: Practical mitigations in place, showing foresight.

Financial: Conservative planning ensures resilience.

Reputational: Transparency and community engagement reduce downside risk.
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